

that he started to write on in this period, was the subject of further work in the 1980s, during which time his interests (at least as reflected in his publications) became increasingly diverse, ranging from general issues in linguistic methodology and theory to South and Southeast Asian linguistics to language contact phenomena. All the while he continued his research into Germanic linguistics and mythology. In the last decade of his life, he published again mostly in the realm of Indo-European mythology and linguistics, but with an admixture of work on Celtic and Baltic religious vocabulary (e.g. 1995).

Much of P.'s later life is associated with *The Journal of Indo-European Studies* (JIES), of which he was Mythology Editor beginning at its founding in 1973 and later managing editor (1987–99). To the journal he contributed countless book reviews and notices, most of them in his mammoth "Book Chronicles" that became a regular feature of each issue beginning in 1995. The sheer quantity of books on which he wrote was testament to his famous indefatigability in reading. The subjects that the journal has tended to be concerned with the most—Indo-European culture and religion (often analyzed from a Dumézilean perspective) and archaeology—partly reflect the stamp of P.'s own intellectual focus.

P. was legendary during his lifetime for furthering the field of Indo-European studies, disseminating its findings to others, and fostering his students. Revered by countless colleagues and several generations of students (many of whom are now professors), P. was the recipient of two festschrifts (1988, 1991–22) and a four-part *Gedenkschrift*.

(1949): *Linguistische Studiën in verband met de Germaanse Anlautgroep* *hw-, 2 vols., Brussels (doct. diss.). (1967): *Swahili Lang. Handbook*, Washington, DC. Ed. (1969): *Old Norse Literature and Mythology: A Symposium*, Austin, TX. Et al., eds. (1976–79): *Ling. and Lit. Studies in Honor of Archibald A. Hill*, 4 vols., The Hague. With HILL, C.P., eds. (1980): *Lang. in Tanzania*, Oxford. Ed. (1982a): *The Indo-Europeans in the Fourth and Third Millennia B. C.*, Ann Arbor, MI. Ed. (1982b): *Homage to Georges Dumézil*, Washington, DC. (1982c): *Lang., Soc., and Paleoculture: Essays*, Stanford. (1989): *Essays on Gmc. Religion*, Washington, DC. With WINTER, W., eds. (1992): *Reconstructing Langs. and Cultures*, Berlin. With DEXTER, M. R., eds., *Varia on the I.-E. Past: Papers in Memory of Marija Gimbutas*, Washington, DC. (1995): "Notes on the Baltic religious vocabulary", *Linguistica Baltica* 4, 35–40. With JUSTUS, C. F., eds. (1999): *Lang. Change and Typol. Variation: In Honor of Winfred P. Lehmann on the Occasion of His 83rd Birthday*, 2 vols. (vol. I: *Lang. Change and Phonology*; vol. II: *Gramm. Universals and Typology*), Washington, DC. (2000): "IE initial /b/ & Gmc. initial /p/", in: id., ed., *Miscellanea Indo-Europea*, Washington, DC, 275–90. — Autobiographical sketch: (1991): "From philology to lang. and culture", in: KOERNER II, 256–71.

JAZAYERY, M. A. & WINTER, W., eds., (1988): *Langs. and Cultures: Studies in Honor of E.C.P.*, Berlin. PEARSON, R. (2000): "In Memoriam: E.C.P.", *JIES* 28, 1–2. [SMART, T., et al.] (2000): "Publs. of E. C. P.: books, arts., essays & reviews", ib., 457–96 (does not include the "Book Chronicle" reviews). N. N., ed. (1991–92): *Perspectives on I.-E. Lang., Culture and Religion: Studies in Honor of E. C. P.*, McLean, VA. — Four-part *Gedenkschrift*: B. DRINKA, ed. (2000): *Studies in Memory of E.C.P.* (= *AJGLL* 12, II). Id. & NURSE, D., eds. (2001): *Afr. Lang. and Culture in Hist. Perspective: Essays in Memory of E.C.P.* (= *GL* 38 [1999]). SALMONS, J. C. & DRINKA, B., eds. (2003): *IE. Lang. and Culture: Essays in Memory of E.C.P.*, Part One (= *GL* 40 [2000]) (incl. biblio.). B. DRINKA, ed. (2004): id., Part Two (= *GL* 41 [2001]).

Benjamin W. Fortson IV

Polotsky, Hans Jakob, b. Sep. 13, 1905, Zürich, Switzerland, d. Aug. 10, 1991, Jerusalem, Israel; Egyptologist, Semitist, and Orientalist.

P. was born to Russian-speaking parents who emigrated from the Crimea and settled in Germany. From an early age he was well versed in Classical languages, esp. in Greek, and studied hieroglyphic Egyptian and Hebrew. In the universities of Berlin and Göttingen he studied Egyptology (with K. Sethe), Semitic languages (with M. Lidzbarski), Iranian (with F. C. Andreas) and Turkic (with W. Bang). He also specialized in late Greek. During his studies and afterwards, P. was engaged in the Septuagint project directed by A. Rahlfs, and took active part in the study and publication of the Coptic Manichaean texts. His comprehensive article on "Manichäismus" (1935), based on the synthesis of all the sources in the various languages, has remained the most important research paper on the subject and P.'s only non-linguistic study. (It was translated into Italian and appeared sixty years later with updated notes [1996]). After the rise of Nazism P. left Germany, and in 1934 began teaching at the Hebrew University of Jerusalem, where he became Professor of Egyptian and Semitic Linguistics and founded the Department of Linguistics and the Department of Egyptian. He was elected a member of the Israel Academy of Sciences and Humanities, the Hebrew Language Academy, and the British, Danish, and Dutch academies, was awarded the Israel Prize, other prestigious prizes, and the gold Lidzbarski medal of the *Deutsche Morgenländische Gesellschaft*, as well as some honorary doctorates.

In Jerusalem P. taught General Linguistics, Egyptian and Coptic, Comparative Semitics, Syriac, Arabic, Ethiopic, Amharic, Tigrinya, Neo-Aramaic, Turkish and Post-Classical

Greek. His research became purely linguistic and, in addition to Egyptian and Coptic, centered on Ethiopic, Neo-Aramaic, Amharic, Tigrinya and Gurage dialects, as P. took advantage of the opportunities to work with native speakers of those tongues.

In the 1950's, P. founded, alongside the Department of Linguistics of the Hebrew University of Jerusalem, an autonomous Department of Egyptian—perhaps the only one in the world to specialize in the linguistics of Egyptian. From the 1920's until his death, he published a series of studies on Egyptian and Coptic grammar that amount to a masterly, almost single-handed, grammatical charting of these languages (his *Collected Papers* of 1971 include only works published up to 1965).

P.'s greatest achievement in Middle Egyptian and Old Egyptian grammatical description—with considerable theoretical implications for general linguistics—was the structuralist establishment of the verb-category identity and distinction—by means of substitution (paradigmatics) and compatibility (syntagmatics). The functional resolution of the Coptic second tenses and Egyptian so-called “emphatic” *sdm.f* and *sdm.n.f* forms in a series of studies (1934, 1940, 1944 and 1957c), through the identification of their cleft-sentence construction and conjoint realization of their “that”-form substantive nature, proved to be a key to unlocking the functional essence of the entire verbal systems of all phases of Egyptian, from the conversion mechanism of Coptic (to be fully developed in “The Coptic Conjugation System” of 1960a) to the systemic tension between substantival, adjectival (relative and participial) and adverbial tense forms, a tension informing a sophisticated system of patterning (1944, 1964, 1965 [an Egyptian counterpart of the “Coptic Conjugation System” as a synthetic *esquisse de grammaire* of the verb], 1957c, 1969, 1976 [here presented as transformations of a basic verbal predication clause]). P.'s distinction of nominal/substantival role forms from the adverbial verbal substitution class is in essence an analytically-based synthesis. It is based not on semantic content (which is to say, the rendering into a European language) but purely on syntactical slotting and word-class definition, mainly within the pivotal nexal matrix “SUBSTANTIVE + ADVERBIAL”, which contains the rhematic circumstantial or converbal forms—*sdm.f*, *sdm.n.f* and the Stative—following a nominal element, including the grammaticalized and still mysterious *ḳw(.f)*. This means that the Middle-Egyptian verb occurs largely in periphrastic conjugations, but by no means implies that the verbal attributes, such as tense

and aspect, are not represented in the verb or that the verb is non-verbal in any way; it simply denotes the important role played by converbs and “that”-forms or nexus substantivizations (infinitives, in later phases), a role familiar in other African languages. The absence of vocalic graphemes in the Egyptian script, Hieroglyphic to Demotic, far from being a deprivation and an obstacle to understanding grammatical systems, turns out to be a blessing: we are perforce freed from the “superstition de la forme” (De Boer), and, conceiving “form” as “class form”, defined structurally at the junction of syntagmatic and paradigmatic environments, we achieve a definition of grammatical category that is richer in significance and indeed more genuine. P.'s heuristic analytic methodology is especially adapted to the study of written corpora and dead languages.

K. Sethe was P.'s main teacher, but A. Erman was in many ways his chief inspiration. Sethe had assembled in his *Verbum* (1899–1902) such morphology of the Egyptian suffix conjugation as there was, and attempted a synchronic and diachronic account of the verbal system. For P., a → Saussurean → Hjelmselevian structuralist, any differentiation on the formal (signifier) plane implies, as a matter of course, a differentiation on the content (signified) plane; his great achievement was the blending of the consonantal morphological data with the syntactical idiosyncrasies of the individual forms (the scope for his grammatical inquiry is macro-syntactic and textual); Sethe's view of the Egyptian Suffix Conjugation was largely asyntactical and a-priori Semitic-referred.

P.'s theory of a substantival *mrr.f* (used strikingly in the topic constituent of a cleft sentence) strongly clashes with A. H. → Gardiner's statements about the difference between the “*mrr.f*” geminating *sdm.f* form in the *Egyptian Grammar* (§438ff.) Gardiner's view, that the *mrr.f* form is marked as expressing imperfective aspect, “repetition and continuity” (“Imperfective *sdm.f* form”) is a regression, since it implicitly rejects A. Erman's discovery of its “emphatic” focalization role, which, following its structural resolution as substantival, resulted in the final identification by P. of the ancestry of the Coptic second tenses). Gardiner's mention of P.'s theory (1950; 1957: 359), while unreservedly accepting P.'s account of Coptic and even Late Egyptian as correct, rejects rather stubbornly his view of the *mrr.f* form. Gardiner's stance is evocatively echoed even today by such Egyptologists as A. Loprieno and P. Vernus, who see aspectual characteristics as primary, opposing *mrr.f* to a “simple” or unmarked *sdm.f*, a simplistic view

that unfortunately proves attractive to non-Egyptological linguists who seek typological formulations of Egyptian grammar.

P. wrote little on Late Egyptian (only 1972, a brief overview of features), and his only discussion of Demotic concerns the Demotic “emphatic” and focalizing conversion, as part of a diachronic presentation; see 1944).

While maintaining a typologically sensitive view of synchronic phases (esp. Coptic), P. was an ardent diachronist, seeing the span of four millennia of continuous attestation as a unique opportunity for observing language evolution. He constantly protested and warned against divorcing Coptic linguistics from the linguistics of the pre-Coptic phases of Egyptian, a rift that is, unfortunately, by now nearly complete. This stand was rhetorically taken in his brilliant Glanville lecture (1984).

P.’s work on Coptic is very different from his pre-Coptic contribution. The grammatical system and patterns of Coptic were known in western orientalist, Egyptological and general-linguistic typological scholarship, in general outline since the 18th century and in detail since the latter half of the 19th and first decades of the 20th century. However, the Coptic verbal system was conventionally conceived of as “normal” in European terms, that is, as a repertory of irreducible forms. P.’s main contribution was to see the elegant modular analytic structure of the Coptic verb (1960a, 1987–90 etc.).

Many of P.’s insights on numerous features of Coptic, from lexicon to syntax, are closely packed in brief, condensed descriptive statements and terse formulations—a veritable grammatical treasure trove hidden away in reviews of editions, grammars and of Crum’s *Dictionary* (see esp. 1930, 1934, 1939, 1957a, 1957b, 1959, 1962). Here is a thematic listing of P.’s discussions of Coptic grammar:

(1) juncture, prosody and phonemics/orthography of Coptic (1931, 1933, 1949, 1957b, 1961a: the Jinkim, superlinear stroke; enclitics and their environment; syllabic structure; stress and its manifestations; (2) word-order in Coptic (1961a): the placement of enclitics; (3) the nominal sentence in Coptic (1962a; 1987:ch. I): a precise account of noun predication patterns and their information structure; also noun/pronoun focalization patterns (cleft sentences with relative topic form); (4) focalization in Coptic; the cleft sentence (for nominal/pronominal foci) and the Coptic second tenses or—in Bentley Layton’s term—focalizing conversion (1934, 1940, 1944, 1960a, 1962a, 1987 ch. IIb); in Egyptian (1940, 1944, 1957c) the structure and function of the “Second Tenses” is synchronically

established and then diachronically delineated retrospectively for all phases of Egyptian; (5) the structure and function of tenses in Coptic (1950, 1960a, 1990 chs. IV, V, VI); (6) the Coptic circumstantial conversion (1990:ch. VII); (7) the Coptic Relative constructions (1987: chs. IIa–b); (8) the causative conjugation in Coptic (1944, 1950; 1987: ch. III); (9) Coptic/Greek contrastive statements (1944, 1950, 1987–90: *passim*); (10) determination and possessive constructions in Coptic (1985a, 1989, 1991).

Grundlagen des koptischen Satzbaus (1987–90), P.’s most extensive study of Coptic grammar, is not a summation of his Coptic *opus* but rather a novel, boldly deviating conception of the Coptic verbal System. This is in part the Coptic counterpart to his Egyptian “Transpositions” (1976), consisting of a schematic view of Coptic conversion as falling into the three part-of-speech categories of adverb, substantive, and adjective, and these three as transformations of the basic—in fact, hierarchically underlying—verbal nexus. The account of these transformations is minutely detailed, and combined with profiles of the nominal-sentence patterns and their structure, an updated and much expanded version of 1962a, and of the causative conjugation.

The considerable general linguistic (and General-Linguistic) import of P.’s work, manifested in teaching and research, lies (1) in the structuralist heuristic procedure of his studies up to the 1970’s, applying the paradigmatic tools of commutation, the textual-syntagmatic notion of macrosyntactically conceived slot and the paradigmatic-syntagmatic concept of pattern; (2) in the functional and macrosyntactically formal conception of the grammatical category (in Old and Middle Egyptian); (3) in the clarification of the structure and function of the cleft sentence and the nominal sentence (here and elsewhere doing away with the concepts of “grammatical” subject and predicate, clarifying the concept of “copula” and generally correlating information and syntactic structures; (4) in the application of the terms and concepts of ‘conversion’ and ‘converter’, later applied by P.’s disciples to other languages (the term used explicitly by P. only in 1960a), in effect overruling and to a degree replacing both traditional notions of subordination and conjunctive clause in Coptic and Egyptian; and (5) generally in the painstakingly, minutely detailed, always text-based approach to grammatical investigation, the harmonic and cross-fertilizing simultaneous work on several typologically kindred or relevant languages, illustrated didactically in his 1964/65 seminar on “General Syntax”: Classical, West European and Slavonic, Ethiopian and Semitic languages.

P.'s main contributions to Semitic linguistics were mostly concerned with explicating the niceties of Amharic syntax (e.g., 1937, 1983) and of the indirect attribute in Arabic (1978), uncovering the hidden principles underlying the structure of Gurage by defining the morphonological reflexes of former gemination and vowel-length and analyzing the special impersonal forms (1938, 1951), and elucidating the reshaped verbal system of Neo-Aramaic as a system of complexes in which the principal parts are inflected for person by three sets of pronominal suffixes formally constant ('nominative', 'objective', 'genitive'), but whose inherent meanings (actor, undergoer, 'gift', recipient, etc.) are modular and determined by the nature of the base to which the suffix happens to be attached (1961a, 1979, 1986, 1994). His approach is characterized by synchronic analysis in typological, areal and historical contexts, with closer attention to intrinsic typological affinity than to genetic-genealogical comparison (except for 1964c). In Semitic as elsewhere, P. regarded word-forms, phrases and clauses with emphasis on their syntactical equivalence according to their function, and employed typological parallels in other languages, Eastern and Western, for expounding the essence of their structural value. Such was in particular his comparison of Amharic and Turkish syntax (1960c). In the study of Gurage, synchrony and diachrony were combined to clarify the structure of the morphological system and the sense it makes. P. investigated all aspects of language but his main interest was grammatical description and analysis, and his effort and ideal in linguistics were mostly directed to what A. → Meillet defined as "ordonner les faits linguistiques au point de vue de la langue même".

(1930): [review of:] De Vis, *Homélies coptes de la Vaticane II* (Hauniae 1929) in: *OLZ* 33, 871–88 (repr. in: [1971], below, 342–47). (1931): "Zur koptischen Lautlehre I", *Zs. für ägypt. Sprache und Altertumswiss.* 67, 74–77 (repr. in: [1971], below, 348–51). (1933): "Zur koptischen Lautlehre II", *ib.* 69, 125–29 (repr. in: [1971], below, 358–62). (1934): [review of:] W.C. Till, *Koptische Dialektgrammatik* (München 1931), in: *GGA* 196, 58–67 (repr. in: [1971], below, 363–72). (1935): "Manichäismus", in: Pauly-Wissowa, *Realencyclopädie der classischen Altertumswiss.*, Suppl. VI, 240–71 (repr. in: [1971], below, 699–714; It. transl. by C. Leurini with pref. by A. Panaino and updated biblio. by A. Piras, *Il manicheismo*, Rimini 1996). (1937): review of M. Cohen, *Traité de langue amharique* (Paris 1936), in: *Bulletin d'études orientales de l'Institut fr. de Damas* 6, 118–22 (repr. in: [1971], below, 455–60. (1938): "Études de grammaire gouragué", *BSL* 39, 137–75 (repr. in: [1971], below, 477–515. (1940): "Une règle concernant l'emploi des formes verbales dans la phrase interrogative en néo-

ég.", *Annales du Service des Antiquités de l'Égypte* 40, 241–45 (repr. in: [1971], below, 33–37). (1944): *Études de syntaxe copte*, Le Caire (Publs. de la Soc. d'Archéologie Copte; repr. in: [1971], below, 102–207). (1949): "Une question d'orthographe bohairique", *Bull. de la Soc. d'Archéologie Copte* 12, 25–35 (repr. in: [1971], 378–88). (1951): *Notes on Gurage Grammar*, Jerusalem: Israel Oriental Soc. (repr. in: [1971], below, 519–73). (1957a): review of W.C. Till, *Koptische Grammatik* (Leipzig 1955), in: *OLZ* 52, 219–34. (1957b): "Zu den koptischen lit. Texten aus Bala'i-zah": Review of Kahle, P.E., ed., *Balaizah. Coptic Texts from Deir el-Bala'izah in Upper Egypt* (London, 1954) in: *Orientalia* 26, 347–49 (repr. in: [1971], below, 389–91). (1957c): "The Emphatic *sdm. n.f* form", *Revue d'Égyptologie* 11, 109–17 (repr. in: [1971], below, 43–51). (1959): "Zur Neugestaltung der koptischen Grammatik", review art. of A. Mallon & M. Malinine, *Grammaire copte* (Beyrouth 1956), in: *OLZ* 56, 453–60 (repr. in: [1971], below, 234–37). (1960a): "The Coptic conjugation system", *Orientalia* 29, 392–422 (repr. in: [1971], below, 238–68). (1960b): review of A. Böhlig, *Der Achmimische Proverbientext* (München 1958), *OLZ* 55, 23–27 (repr. in: [1971], below, 395–97). (1960c): "Syntaxe amharique et syntaxe turque", *Atti del Convegno Internazionale di Studi Etiopici = Problemi attuali di scienza e di cultura* (Roma: Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei), 117–21 (repr. in: [1971], below, 3–7). (1961a): "Zur koptischen Wortstellung", *Orientalia* 30, 294–313 (repr. in: [1971], below, 398–417). (1961b): "Studies in Mod. Syriac", *Journal of Sem. Studies* 6, 1–32 (repr. in: [1971], below, 586–616). (1962a): "Nominalsatz und Cleft Sentence im Koptischen", *Orientalia* 31, 413–30 (repr. in: [1971], below, 418–35). (1962b): [review of:] W.C. Till, *Koptische Grammatik* (Leipzig 1961) in: *OLZ* 57, 468–81 (repr. in: [1971], below, 269–71). (1964a): "Ägypt. Verbalformen und ihre Vokalisation", *Orientalia* 33, 267–85 (repr. in: [1971], below, 52–70). (1964b): [review of:] E. M. Husselman, *The Gospel of John in Fayumic Coptic* (Ann Arbor, MI, 1962), in: *OLZ* 59, 250–53 (repr. in: [1971], below, 436–38). (1964c): "Semitics", *The World History of the Jewish People* I,1: *At the Dawn of Civilization*, E. A. Speiser, ed., Tel Aviv, 99–111 and 357–58. (1965): *Eg. Tenses: Proceedings of The Israel Acad. of Sciences and Humanities*, vol. II, no. 5 (repr. in: [1971], below, 71–96). (1968): "The 'weak' plural article in Bohairic", *Journal of Eg. Archaeology* 54, 243–45. (1969): "Zur altägypt. Grammatik", *Orientalia* 38, 465–81. (1971): *Coll. Papers*, Jerusalem. (1972): "Notre connaissance du néo-ég.", in: *Textes et langages de l'Égypte pharaonique*. Hommage à Jean-François Champollion, Le Caire: Inst. Fr. d'Archéologie Orientale, 133–41. (1976): "Les transpositions du verbe en ég. class.", *Israel Oriental Studies* 5, 1–50. (1978): "A point of Ar. syntax: the indirect attribute", *ib.* 8, 159–73. (1979): "Verbs with two objects in mod. Syriac (Urmi)", *ib.* 9, 204–27. (1983): "Amharic minutiae", in: *Ethiopian Studies Dedicated to Wolf Leslau*, Wiesbaden, 296–306. (1984): "Egyptology: Coptic studies and the Eg.", the Stephen Glanville lecture, in: Ray, J.D., ed., *Lingua Sapientissima: A Seminar in Honour of H. J. P. Organized by the Fitzwilliam Museum, Cambridge and the Faculty of Oriental Studies*, Cambridge: Fac. of Oriental Studies, 5–21. (1985a): "Die koptischen Pos-

sessiva", *Enchoria* 13, 89–96. (1985b): "A Note on the Sequential Verb-Form in Ramesside Eg. and Biblical Hebrew", in: Groll, S., ed., *Pharaonic Egypt*, Jerusalem, 157–61. (1985c): "Verbalaspekte im Koptischen", *Göttinger Miszellen* 88, 19–23. (1986): "Neusyrische Konjugation", *Orientalia Suecana* 33–35 (1984–1986), 323–32. (1987): *Grundlagen des koptischen Satzbaus*, I, Decatur (Am. Studies in Papyrology 27). (1989): "Zur Determination im Koptischen", *Orientalia* 58, 464–72. (1990): *Grundlagen des koptischen Satzbaus*, II, Atlanta, GA (Am. Studies in Papyrology, 29). (1991): "'Article' and 'determinative pronoun' in Coptic" *Lingua Aegyptia* 1, 241–42. (1992): *Ausgewählte Briefe*, E. Ullendorff ed., Stuttgart (*Äthiopistische Forschungen* 34). (1994): "Incorporation in Mod. Syriac", *Sem. and Cushitic Studies*, G. Goldenberg & Sh. Raz, eds., Wiesbaden, 90–102.—For a biblio. of P.'s writings see S. Groll, in: *Iyyunim be-Iqvot Mif'alo shel Polotsky*, Jerusalem: The Israel Acad., 1988, 61–66, complemented in *Le-Zikhro shel Ya'aqov Polotsky*, Jerusalem, The Israel Acad., 1994, 52.

On P.'s analytic approach in Eg. linguistics: CALLENDER, J. B. (1973): "Gramm. Models in Egyptology", *Orientalia* 42, 47–77, esp. 57ff. and 63 (on method in P.'s early works, esp. 1944 and 1960, above). DEPUYDT, L. (1983): "The standard theory of the 'emphatic' form in Class. (Middle) Eg.", *Orientalia Lovanensia Periodica* 14, 13–54. Id. (1995a): "Sentence pattern and verb form: Eg. grammar since P.", *Le Muséon* 108, 39–48. Id. (1995b): "On the empirical distinctness of certain adverbial clauses in Old and Middle Eg.", *Chronique d'Egypte* 139, 18–33. Essays of synthesis and exposition of P.'s work on Middle Eg. and its underlying models: SHISHA-HALEVY, A. (forthc.), "On conversion, clause ordination and related notions", in: *Eg., Sem. and Genl. Grammar*, Jerusalem: The Israel Acad. of Sciences and Humanities. Id. (1992): "In Memoriam H. J. P.", *Orientalia* 61, 208–13. Id. & SATZINGER, H. (1999): "The snark is dead", *Lingua Aegyptia* 6, 167–76 (review art. addressing "revisionist" trends and recent criticism of P.'s paradigm in Eg. linguistics). On P.'s ling. method and Sem. studies: ERDAL, M. (1994): "Gishato shel Prof. H. J. P. le-Heqer ha-Lashon", in: *Le-Zikhro shel Ya'aqov Polotsky*, Jerusalem: The Israel Acad., 38–60. GOLDENBERG, G. (1994): "P. ve-ha-Balshanut ha-She-mit", ib., 13–19. ROSÉN, H. B. (1988): "Madda' ha-Lashon be-Doro shel P.", *Iyyunim be-Iqvot Mif'alo shel P.*, Jerusalem: The Israel Acad., 38–60. Festschriften etc.: 1964, H. B. ROSÉN ed., *Studies in Egyptology and Linguistics in Honour of H. J. P.*, Jerusalem: The Israel Exploration Soc.; 1981, D. W. Young ed., *Studies Presented to H. J. P.*, Beacon Hill, MA; 2000, S. I. GROLL et al. dir., *The Art of Love Lyrics in Memory of Bernard Couroyer, OP and H. J. P.*, *First Egyptologists in Jerusalem*, Paris (*Cahiers de la Revue Biblique* 49), 55–57. Personal entries and arts. on P.: KAPELIUK, O. (2001): *Le-Zikhro shel Ya'aqov P.*, Jerusalem: The Israel Acad. KUTSCHER, E. Y. (1964): *Ha'aretz*, Sep. 25. Id. (1972): *Encyclopaedia Judaica*. Id. (1975): *Encyclopaedia Hebraica* 27. VOIGT, R. M. (2001): *NDB* 20, 608–609. Obituary notes: BRONOWSKI, Y. (1991): *Ha'aretz*, Sep. 13 (repr. & transl. in: 1992, above, 133–38). GOLDENBERG, G. ([1992] 1995): *Zikhronot ha-Aqademya la-Lashon ha-Tvrit* 38/39/40, 199–202. HOPKINS, S. ([1990] 1992): *Rassegna di Studi Etiopici* 34, 11–25.

SHISHA-HALEVY, A. (1992): *Orientalia* 61, 208–13. ULLENDORFF, E. (1991): *The Times*, Aug. 14 (repr. in: [1972], above, 9).

Gideon Goldenberg & Ariel Shisha-Halevy

Ponceau, Peter Stephen Du → Du Ponceau, Peter Stephen.

Pontano, Giovanni (or **Gioviano**), b. May 7, 1429, Cerreto, Umbria, Italy, d. 1503, Naples, Italy; political figure and humanist poet, theorist of poetics.

P. moved to Naples at an early age and, as a renowned scholar and statesman, became one of the most prominent figures of the Aragonese world. He was appointed head of the *Accademia Napoletana*, later called the *Pontaniana*.

Two of P.'s more interesting works are his dialogues *Aegidius* and *Actius* (1943). He relates the problem of speech to divine creation. On the one hand, he points out the divine nature and the creative aspect of speech, and on the other, he identifies speech with God. Speech, by its very nature, justifies the indivisibility of *res* and *verbum* (*quae sunt cuncta quidem verbo creasse*: 1943: 269). This is the basis for P.'s thoughts on poetry, the original form of language, through which man emerges from the 'dark woods' in an attempt to form a community. Because of this, P. claims that poetry is 'the most fruitful mother of all sciences' (*doctrinarum omnium mater foecundissima*; 1943: 239).

(1943): *I dialoghi*, C. Privitera ed., Firenze. (1954): *De Sermone*, S. Lupi & A. Riscato eds., Padova.

GRASSI, E. (1986): *Einführung in philos. Probleme des Humanismus*, Darmstadt, 57–63.

Donatella Di Cesare

Pontoppidan, Erik Eriksen, b. Jan. 21, 1616, Bjerregård, Sønder Broby Parish, Denmark, d. Jun. 12, 1678, Trondheim, Norway; grammarian.

After completing school in Odense, P. studied theology at the University of Copenhagen. He traveled to Holland and France in 1641 on a royal stipend and returned to Denmark in 1643 to finish his university studies. After several positions as pastor various places in Denmark, P. accepted an offer from the king to become bishop in Trondheim, Norway in 1673, a post he held until his death. P. was awarded the degree of doctor of theology by the king of Denmark in 1675.

P.'s major work is his extensive *Grammatica Danica*, published in 1668, but apparently begun much earlier. This grammar, which fills almost 600 pages, is the first grammar of the Danish language intended for a native audience. Like L. → Kock, P. wrote his Danish grammar

in Latin. Although he questions the usefulness of providing grammatical rules for the vernacular, quoting the German humanist Johannes Manlius, since every child can learn to speak correctly, and as a rule, eventually learn to write correctly by imitation and practice, he still maintains, again quoting Manlius, that the Greeks and Romans made a concerted effort to teach their native languages, while many Germans who spoke publicly in an official capacity used the language in an improper and obscure manner because they had not perfected it through grammatical studies.

Although P.'s grammar was modeled on Latin grammars, he also relied heavily on the German vernacular grammars, particularly Albert Oelinger's *Vnderricht der Hoch Teutschen Sprach* (1573) and Justus Georg → Schottelius' *Teutsche Sprach-kunst* (1641) who had already undertaken the task of adapting a Latin grammar to a Germanic language.

P.'s grammar is divided into three sections: *Observationes orthographicae* presenting pronunciation and spelling, *Observationes etymologicae* dealing with the parts of speech and inflection, the largest section, and *Observationes syntacticae* discussing word order with reference to the parts of speech. P. operates with five parts of speech that are subject to inflection (*variabiles & flexibiles*: articles, nouns, pronouns, verbs, and participles) and four that are not (*invariabiles inflexibiles*: adverbs, prepositions, conjunctions, and interjections). Thus, in contrast to Latin grammar, but following the tradition of his German predecessors, he introduces the article as one of the parts of speech and shows an awareness that there are only two cases for nouns since they only have two possible inflections: *Casus Rectus* and *Casus Obliquus*. P.'s view of syntax is clearly positional and relational.

In addition to his grammar, P. wrote poems in Latin and poetry and ballads in Danish. He also wrote a number of psalms and translated M. → Luther's catchism into Saami. P. left behind several manuscripts, among them word lists comparing Danish with Icelandic and Old German, a Saami word list, an etymological dictionary, and a dictionary of rhymes, *Vocabularium Danico-Rhythmicum* (Gl. kgl. saml. 3605, 8^o).

(1668): *Grammatica Danica*. Hauniae: Typis Christiani Veringii (in: Bertelsen [1915–29], below, vol II, 3–426). (1749): *Glossarium Norvagicum, eller Forsøg paa en Samling Af saadanne rare Norske Ord Som gemeenlig ikke forstaaes af Danske Folk, Tilligemed en Fortegnelse paa Norske Mænds og Qvinders Navne Det fælles Sprog Til Oplysning og Forbedring*. Bergen: Cristoph Kothert.

BERTELSEN, H. ed. (1915–29): *Danske Grammatikere fra Midten af det syttende til Midten af det attende Aarhundrede*, København (repr. 1979 with a Dan. transl. of Bartholin [1657] and Henriksen [1980], below). HENRIKSEN, C. (1980): *Danske Grammatikere I–VI (1915–29): En indføring og en ajourføring*, København. KRISTENSEN, M. (1982): “E. E. P.”, in: *Dansk biografisk leksikon*, København, XI, 435–36. HOVDHAUGEN, E., et al. (2000): *HLNC*, Helsinki, 51, 67–71, 75, and 86–89. SKAUTRUP, P. (1944–70): *Det danske sprogs historie I–V*. København, II: 285–86.

Carol Henriksen

Pop, Sever, b. July 27, 1901, Poiana-Ilvei, Rumania, d. Feb. 17, 1961, Herent (Louvain), Belgium; Romance scholar and dialectologist, founder of the *Centre international de dialectologie générale* (CIDG).

P. studied Romance philology at the University of Cluj, where he was appointed a professor in 1923, after having worked at the Cluj Museum of the Rumanian language. He went to Paris to study dialectology, linguistics and Romance philology with J. → Gilliéron, A. → Meillet, M. → Roques and J. → Vendryes. In 1927 he conducted fieldwork in France, Switzerland, Spain, and Italy, in collaboration with dialectologists such as L. → Gauchat, J. → Jud, A. → Griaire, U. Pellis and P. Scheuermeier. Inspired by the work of Gilliéron and H. → Schuchardt, and in the context of the rise of the idealistic trend of *neolinguistica*, P. felt attracted to the study of dialects in their relationship to social and cultural history. In P.'s view dialectology was to be conceived as the study of *Wörter und Sachen*, and it was his conviction that innovation in linguistics depended on the gathering and analysis of new data from fieldwork. During his professorship at Cluj (which lasted until 1939), he carried out extensive fieldwork for the *Atlasul lingvistic român* in Rumania, Hungary, Czechoslovakia, Bulgaria, Yugoslavia and Greece. P. developed extensive questionnaires for the Rumanian atlas, which was innovative for its method and remarkable for the wealth of linguistic (and ethnographic) information. The methodological aspects and the principal conclusions reached (with respect to linguistic innovations and their direction, the role of peripheral areas, the diversification of Imperial Latin in the eastern part of the ‘Romania’, the specificity of women's speech) were discussed by P. in a series of methodologically important articles (1931, 1933, 1947).

After two short professorships in Cernăuți and Bucharest, in 1939 and 1940, P. became the head of the Rumanian Academy in Rome. Having refused to return to his country in the post-war years, he became an exile. In 1947 he was