

The New York Times

ECONOMIC SCENE

Even for Shoe Bombers, Education and Success Are Linked

By AUSTAN GOOLSBEE
Published: September 14, 2006

THE fifth anniversary of 9/11 passed with a great deal of hand-wringing over all the people who want to kill Americans. Especially worrisome is the apparent rise of terrorists whose origins seem far from fanatical.

Small Business

[Go to Special Section »](#)

These terrorists are not desperately poor uneducated people from the Middle East. A surprisingly large share of them have college and even graduate degrees. Increasingly, they seem to be from Britain, like the shoe bomber [Richard C. Reid](#) and most of the suspects in the London Underground bombings and the liquid explosives plot.

This has left the public wondering, Why are some educated people from Western countries so prone to fanaticism?

Before trying to answer that question, though, some economists argue that we need to think about what makes a successful terrorist and they warn against extrapolating from the terrorists we catch. It is a problem economists typically refer to as "selection bias."

In their new study, "Attack Assignments in Terror Organizations and the Productivity of Suicide Bombers," two economists, Efraim Benmelech of [Harvard University](#) and Claude Berrebi of the RAND Corporation, set out to analyze the productivity of terrorists in the same way they might analyze the auto industry. But they defined the "success" of terrorists by their ability to kill.

They gathered data on Palestinian suicide bombers in Israel from 2000 to 2005 and found that for terrorists, just like for regular workers, experience and education improve productivity. Suicide bombers who are older — in their late 20's and early 30's — and better educated are less likely to be caught on their missions and are more likely to kill large numbers of people at bigger, more difficult targets than younger and more poorly educated bombers.

Professor Benmelech and Dr. Berrebi compare a Who's Who of the biggest suicide bombers to more typical bombers. Whereas typical bombers were younger than 21 and about 18 percent of them had at least some college education, the average age of the most successful bombers was almost 26 and 60 percent of them were college educated.

SIGN IN TO E-MAIL THIS

PRINT

REPRINTS



More Articles in Business »



MOST POPULAR - BUSINESS

EMAILED VIEWED

1. [Retiring: New Math for Retirees and the 4% Withdrawal Rule](#)
2. [Your Money Adviser: Tax Documents: What to Shred, and What to Keep](#)
3. [Accused of Spying for China, Until She Wasnâ€™t](#)
4. [Your Money: Credit Cards With 2% Rebates, While \(or if\) They Last](#)
5. [The Parched West: Water Pricing in Two Thirsty Cities: In One, Guzzlers Pay More, and Use Less](#)
6. [Obama Scolds Democrats on Trade Pact Stance](#)
7. [Strategies: Fees on Mutual Funds Fall. Thank Yourself.](#)
8. [Maurice Flanagan, Airline Founder, Is Dead at 86](#)
9. [French Nuclear Model Falters](#)
10. [Sketch Guy: At an Impasse but Finding a New Path](#)

[Go to Complete List](#)
Â»

ELSEWHERE ON NYTIMES.COM

Experience and education also affect the chances of being caught. Every additional year of age reduces the chance by 12 percent. Having more than a high school education cuts the chance by more than half.

There are many examples where young or uneducated terrorists made stupid mistakes that foiled them. Professor Benmelech recounts the case last April of a teenager from Nablus apprehended by Israeli soldiers before carrying out his bombing because he was wearing an overcoat on a 95-degree day. Mr. Reid, the failed shoe bomber, had only a high school degree. Would an older terrorist with more education have tried to light a match on his shoe (as Mr. Reid did) in plain view of the flight attendant and other passengers who proceeded to thwart his plan? Would a better-educated terrorist have been more discreet? We will never know.

The research suggests, however, that there may be a reason that the average age of the 9/11 hijackers (at least the ones for whom we have a birth date) was close to 26 and that the supposed leader, [Mohammed Atta](#), was 33 with a graduate degree.

As Professor Benmelech put it in an interview: "It's clear that there are some terrorist missions that require a certain level of skill to accomplish. The older terrorists with better educations seem to be less likely to fail them. Perhaps it is not surprising, then, that terrorist organizers assign them to these more difficult missions."

Among Palestinian suicide bombers, the older and better-educated bombers are assigned to targets in bigger cities where they can potentially kill greater numbers of people. That same idea means that the terrorists assigned to attack the United States are probably different from the typical terrorist. They will be drawn from people whose skills make them better at evading security.

We know relatively little about why the suspects arrested in the recent liquid explosives plot failed at their mission. Perhaps their poor educations made it easier to uncover their plan. We do know, however, that they included a large number of South Asians raised in Britain. It's only natural that terror groups would recruit native English speakers when people are clamoring for extra airport scrutiny of Arabs from the Middle East. It does not imply that the Muslim community is a more fertile ground for terrorists in Britain than in other countries.

Think of the extreme case. One of the people arrested in the liquid explosives plot (albeit on a minor charge) was a woman with a baby. London newspapers have speculated that she was planning to carry her baby onto a plane with liquid explosives in his bottle. Even if true, that does not mean we should all start suspecting that women with babies are closet terrorists. That would be rather egregious selection bias. Objectively, we should be much more suspicious of other people. We see only the mother because the terrorists have an overwhelming incentive to find the one unusual terrorist who will outsmart our defenses.

And sadly, it seems that educated and intelligent terrorists are better at doing that than uneducated, fundamentalist lunatics. Oh, that it weren't so. Like the old advertisement said, a mind is a terrible thing to waste.

Austan Goolsbee is a professor of economics at the University of Chicago Graduate School of Business and a research fellow at the American Bar Foundation. E-mail:



Tech start-ups in India attract big names, and money

▮ Try a Digital Subscription today — Special risk-free offer



goolsbee@nytimes.com.

More Articles in Business

»

Related Articles

- [THREATS AND RESPONSES: HUNT FOR JIHADISTS; Police Search Islamic School on Expansive Estate in South Britain \(September 4, 2006\)](#)
- [Education Dept. Shared Student Data With F.B.I. \(September 1, 2006\)](#)
- [Survivors Put Kremlin on Trial in Russian School Siege \(April 25, 2006\)](#)
- [Studying Abroad, Safe or Not \(April 23, 2006\)](#)

Related Searches

- [Terrorism](#)
- [Education and Schools](#)
- [Economic Conditions and Trends](#)
- [International Relations](#)

[Home](#) | [World](#) | [U.S.](#) | [N.Y./Region](#) | [Business](#) | [Technology](#) | [Science](#) | [Health](#) | [Sports](#) | [Opinion](#) | [Arts](#) | [Style](#) | [Travel](#) | [Jobs](#) | [Real Estate](#) | [Automobiles](#) | [Back to Top](#)

Copyright 2006 The New York Times Company | [Privacy Policy](#) | [Search](#) | [Corrections](#) |  [RSS](#) | [First Look](#) | [Help](#) | [Contact Us](#) | [Work for Us](#) | [Site Map](#)